# SWORN COMPLAINT BEFORE THE TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

Revised 01/05/2000
Office Use Only

-----

Docket Number

w w w w

## I. IDENTITY OF COMPLAINANT

I, NELSON ALI	EX WINSLOW	, complainant,
	(full name of individual filing the complaint)	
	mplaint with the Texas Ethics Co	
(full mailing or	street address, including P.O. box number or street, city, st	tate, and zip code)
My telephone number is <b>5</b>		
	(	
	II. IDENTITY OF RESPONDENT	
The respondent is N	ATHAN L. HECHT  (full name of respondent)	
	(tan dame of respondent)	
The respondent holds the position	or title of JUSTICE TEXAS S  (position or title held by res	Spondent)
The respondent's address is	UPREME COURT OF TEXAS uailing or street address, including P.O. box number or street.	P.O. Box 12248 et, city, state, and zip code of respondent)
AUSTIN, TX 7871		
The respondent's telephone numb	er is 512 - 463 - 1312 (telephone number of responden	nt, including area code)
	Attach additional pages, if necessary.	
exas Ethics Commission	P.O. Box 12070	Austin, Texas 78711-2070
	Page 1	

### III. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION

(Include the specific law or rule alleged to have been violated, if possible. The Texas Ethics Commission has jurisdiction to enforce *only* Chapters 302, 305, and 572 of the Government Code and Title 15 of the Election Code.)

JUSTICE HEC	HT ACCE	PTED AND	RECEIVE	D A \$1	00,000	DISCOUNT
ON LEGAL S	ERVICES	IN VIOLAT	ION DF	ELECTION	US CODE	
SEC. 253.15	55(b) A	ND SEC.	253.157	(a)(a).	ADDITE	NALLY,
HE FAILED	TO REPO	RT THIS	N-KIND	CONTRIB	TION IN	<b>1</b>
VIOLATION	of Elec	TION CODE	SEC. 2	54.031	AND S	EC
254.0611.			·			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						·
<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	·····					
-						
<del>-</del>						
- ALB SERVICE					-	
		Attach additional p	ages, if necessar	у.		
Texas Ethics Commission		P.O. Bo	x 12070		Austin, Tex	as 78711-2070
		Pag	e 2			

### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

(State the facts constituting the alleged violation, including the dates on which or the period of time in which the alleged violation occurred. Identify allegations of fact not personally known to the complainant but alleged on information and belief. Please use simple, concise, and direct statements.)

SEE ATTACHED			·		
			<del> </del>	<del></del>	
		·····			
**************************************					
					7-1-1-1
	•				
		V. 10	<u> </u>		
	L. B. W. S. T.				
	The state of the s				
	Attach additional pag	ges, if necessary.			
Texas Ethics Commission	P.O. Box	12070		Austin, Texas	78711-2070
	Page 3	}			
	3				

## V. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MATERIALS

(List all documents and other materials filed with this complaint. Additionally, list all other documents and other materials that are relevant to this complaint and that are within your knowledge, including their location, if known.)

A) STATEMENT OF FACTS	
B) NEWS ARTICLE FROM THE FORT WO	RTH STAR-TELEGRAM
c) CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT FOR JUS	STICE HECHT,
SEMI-ANNUAL JULY 2007	
D) CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT FOR JU	STICE HECHT,
SEMI-ANNVAL JANUARY 2007	
Attach additional pages, if necessary.	
Texas Ethics Commission P.O. Box 12070	Austin, Texas 78711-2070
Page 4	

# VI. AFFIDAVIT

# BASED ON PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE

(Execute this affidavit if the acts alleged are within your direct personal knowledge.)

		ent he had received from the C	complainant,
		swear that I have knowledge and that the information countries.	of the facts alleged in this complaint ontained in this complaint is true
		. \$100,000 on his bill sometin	negotiated a discount of more than
		Signatur	e of complainant
AFFIY NOT	TARY STAMP / SEAL ABOVE		\$50,000 itmit allowabia by law.
APPLA NO	TAKT STAM / SEAE / BOYE		
Sworn to and st	ubscribed before me, by the said	nce Hecht had to report the a	sidt Texas Ethics Commission Jus
	day of		certify which, witness my hand and seal of office.
the	day of	and act hellid bulgers legent	on December 5, 2000, and 5313, more than \$100,000 less than the
Signatu	are of officer administering oath	Print name of officer administering oat	h Title of officer administering oath
		253.157(a)(2). That \$100,00	
		TOTAL A DESTRUCTION	
	BA	VII. AFFIDAVIT SED ON INFORMATION AND BI	CLIEF
		affidavit if the acts alleged are	
	direct persons	al knowledge, but are based on reas	sonable belief.)
		I, Nelson A	Winslow, complainant,
		swear that I have reason to believe	and do believe that the violation alleged in
		this complaint has occurred. The s	ource and basis of my information and belief
		is TEC Hilays	and wws
		or acounts.	
		11/1	·
	IN TOBIAS	1/ / / / /	n 1
	rublic, State of Texas ormnission Expires	MUSGU	lw
TAKEN MA	Y 15, 2011	Signatu	re of complainant
AFFIX NO	TARY STAMP / SEAL ABOVE		
Sworn to and s	subscribed before me, by the said	Nelson A Win	n Slow this
_	$\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{5}{2}$		certify which, witness my hand and seal of office.
the O	J 4 - 30		41 26 22
Thy	my John	Lynn Tobias	Notary
Signati	ure of officer administering oath	Print name of officer administering or	th Title of officer administering oath
	$\circ$		
		D O D 10070	Augtin Taxas 78711-2070

Texas Ethics Commission

P.O. Box 12070

Austin, Texas 78711-2070

#### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

In August of 2006, Justice Hecht was represented by Charles Babcock of Jackson Walker in a hearing before the State Commission on Judicial Conduct. In this hearing Justice Hecht challenged the public admonishment he had received from the Commission in relation to comments he made about Harriet Miers while she was being considered for appointment to the United States Supreme Court. Justice Hecht was billed approximately \$450,000 for this legal representation. According to media reports, however, Justice Hecht and Jackson Walker negotiated a discount of more than \$100,000 on his bill sometime after the August hearing. This discount constitutes an in-kind contribution of legal services that appears to be in excess of the \$30,000 limit allowable by law.

As payment to a law firm for representation is an acceptable political expenditure according to the Texas Ethics Commission, Justice Hecht had to report the amount he paid to Jackson Walker. According to reports filed with the Ethics Commission, Justice Hecht paid \$28.671.67 to the firm on December 5, 2006, and \$313,744.85 on April 17, 2007, for a total of \$342,416.52. This is more than \$100,000 less than the original amount billed for his legal services.

Campaign contributions are limited to \$5,000 per individual per Elections Code Sec. 253.155(b) and \$30,000 per law firm per Sec. 253.157(a)(2). This \$100,000 discount given by Charles Babcock and Jackson Walker and accepted by Justice Hecht clearly appears to exceed the maximum limit.

Additionally, Justice Hecht failed to report this in-kind contribution in violation of Elections Code Sec. 254.031 and Sec. 254.0611.